



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/698,787	10/27/2000	Victor Levy	50824-2-2-1	6687
22504	7590	04/07/2005	EXAMINER	
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP 2600 CENTURY SQUARE 1501 FOURTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101-1688			PASS, NATALIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/698,787	Applicant(s) LEVY, VICTOR	
	Examiner Natalie A. Pass	Art Unit 3626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Natalie A. Pass.

(3) Victor Levy.

(2) Bruce A. Kaser (Reg. No. 31531).

(4) Joseph Thomas.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, in particular, and all of record, in general.


Identification of prior art discussed: All of record, in general.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


JOSEPH THOMAS
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The interview included discussion of the proposed amendments to the claims and clarification of Applicant's invention including a description, by Applicant, of various methods of statistical analysis. Applicant presented reasons that his invention differentiated itself over previously applied references.

In addition, it was noted that Examiner has given the previously claimed elements their broadest reasonable interpretation, as required by MPEP § 2106, which states: Office personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim are not read into the claim. In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-551 (CCPA 1969). See also In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 321-22, 13 USPQ2d 1320, 1322 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

It was suggested that Applicant point to specific lines in the originally filed specification that support the proposed amended claims.

Examiner will reconsider the references in light of amendments made that clarify the claims.

PTOL-413A (09-04)
 Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/698,787 First Named Applicant: Victor Levy
 Examiner: Natalie Pass Art Unit: 3626 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Victor Levy (2) Bruce A. Kaser
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: March 30, 2005 Proposed Time: 3:00 P.M. (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: Matrix that illustrates functional operation of invention;
Flow chart that illustrates functional operation of "rules-based" system

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>Haessler, Iliff, Khorasani</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached					

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Applicant will explain why claimed "matrix" that includes array of mathematical factors is distinguishable over prior art of record

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____.

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Bruce A. Kaser
 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

 Examiner/SPE Signature

Bruce A. Kaser

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

31,531

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



Tabular Analysis for Chest Pain

(QUESTIONS/VARIABLES)

Angina/CAD	0.6667	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.3	0.7	1.15	1.3	2.5	1.0	1.0	77.52
GERD	0.0753	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	0.41	3	1.15	3	1.15	42.48
Peptic Ulcer	0.0101	3	2	3	2	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35.29
Nonreflux Esophagitis	0.0204	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	3	4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	29.98
Tracheobronchitis/Asthma	0.0526	1.15	1.15	6	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	29.45
Esoph Spasm	0.0309	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.5	1.15	1.5	1.15	1.5	1.0	1.0	17.35
Miscellaneous musculo-skeletal chest wall	0.0204	1.5	1.5	3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	12.1
Non-traumatic musculo-skeletal chest wall	0.0638	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	6
Anxiety	0.0526	1.15	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.7
Esoph Rupture	0.0101	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.62
Pneumonia	0.0417	1.15	1.15	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.22
Pericarditis	0.0204	1.15	2	1.15	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	5.12
Aortic Dissection	0.0101	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.82
Traumatic musculo-skeletal chest wall	0.0309	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3
Pancreatitis	0.0101	1.15	1.15	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.6
Mitral Valve Prolapse	0.0101	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.22
Superficial Lesions	0.0204	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2
Pulmonary Thromboembolism	0.0101	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.51
Pneumothorax	0.0101	1.15	1.15	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.31
Shingles	0.0101	1.15	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.14
		LR	LR	LR	LR	LR	LR	LR	LR	LR	LR	

PRE-TEST
ODDS

LR = LIKELIHOOD
RATIOS

POST-TEST
PROBABILITY


Pre-test odds x LR x LR x LR . . . = post-test odds (converted to post-test probability)

EXAMPLE OF A RULES-BASED SYSTEM**KEY:**

UPPER CASE = QUESTIONS

lower case = answers

Roman numerals = Outcomes



If multiple outcomes share multiple answers (unequally), an all or none system fails, and a ranking of outcomes is necessary, using likelihood ratios as the means (poppy seed analogy).

LAWYERS

Davis Wright Tremaine LLP



ANCHORAGE

BELLEVUE
SEATTLELOS ANGELES
WASHINGTON, D.C. SHANGHAI

NEW YORK

PORTLAND

SAN FRANCISCO

BRUCE A. KASER
DIRECT (206) 628-7653
brucekaser@dwt.com2600 CENTURY SQUARE
1501 FOURTH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WA 98101-1688TEL (206) 622-3150
FAX (206) 628-7699
www.dwt.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

Date: March 22, 2005

SEND TO:

NAME	FIRM/COMPANY/CONFIRMATION NO.	FAX NUMBER
Natalie Pass	U.S. Patent Office	703 746 8373

FROM:

Bruce A. Kaser
(brucekaser@dwt.com)

Telephone: (206) 628-7653

Fax: (206) 628-7699

NUMBER OF PAGES (including cover page): 4

Floor Sent From: _____ Time Sent: _____ AM PM Operator: _____

RETURN TO SENDER: VIA INTRAOFFICE MAIL ☐ WILL PICK UP ☐ EXTENSION: _____

COMMENTS:

THE WRITTEN MESSAGE TRANSMITTED HEREBY IS FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE AND CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL, PRIVILEGED AND NONDISCLOSABLE INFORMATION. IF THE RECIPIENT OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE ADDRESSEE, OR A PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE ADDRESSEE, SUCH RECIPIENT IS PROHIBITED FROM READING OR USING THIS MESSAGE IN ANY WAY. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE BY MISTAKE, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY AND DESTROY THE FACSIMILE MESSAGE.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES OF THIS TRANSMISSION,

PAGE 1/4 * RCVD AT 3/22/2005 5:59:37 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFAX-210 * DNIS:7468373 * CSID:206 628 7699 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-24